



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States: Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,728	02/10/2000		Hiroshi Yamamoto	B208-1077	4301
26272	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ROBIN B	LECKER	& DALEY	NGUYEN, C	NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
2ND FLOOR 330 MADISON AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017				2675	16
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/501,728	YAMAMOTO, HIROSHI				
Autiony Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chanh Nguyen	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:	(-)(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
TO. Curer.		Chanh Nguyen				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

40. 3





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the location of the detecting elements as recited in claims 19 and 24 is not patentable subject matter distinct from the location of the detecting elements of Tanaka. The detecting elements (16) of Tanaka may be allocated on the upper side of the display windows as applicant's argument, but it is inherent that the detecting elements (16) of Tanaka can be allocated on the lower side of the windows because Tanaka teaches the detecting elements can be allocated in different areas in HMD such as detecting elements (16) in Figure 3 and detecting elements (81) in Figure 8. The detecting elements of the invention can be allocated different areas in HMD (see Figures 12-14) as the same way as Tanaka which the detecting elements can be allocated in different areas in HMD (see Figures 3 and 8 of Tanaka). There is no specific which area (i.e. upper, lower, side areas of display window) is better than another (or unexpected result from allocated only on the lower side; this not a case because the detecting elements of the invention can be placed different areas in HMD as discussed above).